Social Science Research Paper Outline

APA Format for Psychology, Sociology, Education, and Related Fields

---

I. Title Page (APA 7th Edition Format)

Paper Title: Clear and concise (no more than 12 words) - Should summarize main idea - Centered, bold, upper and lower case - Example: "The Impact of Mindfulness Training on Academic Stress in College Students"

Author Name(s): Full name(s), no titles or degrees

Institutional Affiliation: University or organization

Course Number and Name: (for student papers)

Instructor Name: (for student papers)

Assignment Due Date: (for student papers)

Author Note: (professional papers only) - ORCID iD - Changes in affiliation - Disclosures and acknowledgments

---

II. Abstract (150-250 words)

Write this section LAST

One paragraph, no indentation, single block of text

Include all of the following:

Problem: What issue or question does this study address? (1 sentence)

Objective: Purpose and main hypotheses or research questions (1-2 sentences)

Participants: Brief description of sample (1 sentence) - Example: "267 undergraduate students (M age = 20.3 years, SD = 1.8)"

Method: Brief overview of design, measures, and procedures (2-3 sentences)

Results: Main findings with key statistics (2-3 sentences) - Include effect sizes and confidence intervals when possible

Conclusions: Implications and applications (1-2 sentences)

Keywords: 3-5 keywords (on separate line below abstract) - Lowercase except proper nouns - Example: *Keywords:* mindfulness, academic stress, intervention, college students

---

III. Introduction

Note: The word "Introduction" is not used as a heading in APA format. Begin directly with the title of your paper (centered, bold).

A. Opening Statement

Attention-getting opening: - Compelling statement about the topic - Real-world example or scenario - Important statistic - Provocative question

Establish importance: - Why does this topic matter? - Who is affected by this issue? - Scale and scope of the problem

B. Background and Context

General background: - Current state of the issue - Historical context if relevant - Define key constructs and terms

Key definitions: - Operational definitions of major variables - Conceptual clarity

Statistics and prevalence: - Current data on the phenomenon - Demographic patterns - Trends over time

C. Literature Review

Note: Organize thematically, not author-by-author

Theme 1: [Major Topic Area] Current knowledge: - What research has established - Key findings from multiple studies

Example: "Research has consistently demonstrated the negative effects of academic stress on student wellbeing (Author et al., Year; Author & Author, Year; Author, Year)."

Synthesize findings: - Patterns across studies - Strength of evidence

Key studies: - Study 1: Author(s), Year - Method: - Sample: - Key findings: - Relevance:

- Study 2: Author(s), Year
  - Method:
  - Sample:
  - Key findings:
  - Relevance:
- Study 3: Author(s), Year
  - Method:
  - Sample:
  - Key findings:
  - Relevance:

Gaps or limitations in this area:

Theme 2: [Major Topic Area] Current knowledge:

Key studies: - Study 1: Author(s), Year - Details - Study 2: Author(s), Year - Details

Synthesize findings:

Gaps or limitations:

Theme 3: [Major Topic Area] Current knowledge:

Key studies:

Synthesize findings:

Gaps or limitations:

Theme 4 (if applicable): [Major Topic Area] Current knowledge:

Key studies:

Synthesize findings:

Gaps or limitations:

D. Theoretical Framework

Overview: - What theory/theories guide this research? - Why are they appropriate for this study?

Primary Theory: [Theory Name] Origin and key proponents: - Developer(s): Name (Year) - Major contributors:

Core concepts: - Main principles - Key constructs - Relationships between variables

How theory applies to current study: - Specific predictions - Conceptual model

Empirical support: - Research supporting this theory - Applications in similar contexts

Limitations: - Critiques of the theory - Boundary conditions

Secondary Theory (if applicable): [Theory Name] Origin and development:

Core concepts:

Application to study:

Integration with primary theory: - How theories complement each other - Points of synthesis

E. Rationale for Current Study

Knowledge gaps: - What remains unknown? - What contradictions exist in the literature?

Limitations of previous research: - Methodological issues - Sample limitations - Measurement problems - Lack of generalizability

How current study addresses gaps: - Novel contribution - Improved methodology - Different population - New perspective

Practical importance: - Real-world relevance - Who benefits from this knowledge?

F. Purpose Statement

Clear statement of study purpose: - "The purpose of this study was to…" - Specific and focused

Study design: - Experimental, correlational, qualitative, mixed methods

G. Research Questions

Primary Research Question: - Clear, specific question

Secondary Research Questions (if applicable): - Additional questions to be addressed

H. Hypotheses (for quantitative studies)

Hypothesis 1: - Directional prediction - Based on theory and literature - Example: "It was hypothesized that participants who received mindfulness training would report lower levels of academic stress compared to control group participants."

Hypothesis 2:

Hypothesis 3:

OR Exploratory Research Questions (for qualitative studies): - Open-ended questions - Areas to be explored

---

IV. Method

A. Participants (or Sample)

Overview: - Total N - Brief description

Detailed demographics: - Age: M = ***, SD =*** , Range = ***- Gender: n =*** ***(%) female, n =*** ***(%) male, n =*** (____%) non-binary - Race/ethnicity distribution - Education level - Socioeconomic status indicators (if relevant) - Other relevant characteristics

Recruitment: - How participants were recruited - Recruitment materials used - Incentives offered

Inclusion criteria: - Who was eligible?

Exclusion criteria: - Who was not eligible?

Sampling method: - Random, convenience, purposive, stratified, etc. - Justification

Sample size determination: - Power analysis (if conducted) - Justification for sample size - Effect size expected - Desired power level

Attrition: - Dropout rate - Reasons for attrition - Comparison of completers vs. non-completers

B. Measures (or Instruments)

Note: Describe each measure used

Measure 1: [**Name of Instrument**] **Description:** - What construct does it measure? - Number of items - Response format (e.g., 5-point Likert scale) - Score range - How scores are interpreted

Example items: - "I feel overwhelmed by academic demands" - Items not published should be described but not reproduced

Psychometric properties: - Reliability in previous research (Cronbach's) - Reliability in current sample (= _____) - Validity evidence (construct, criterion, etc.) - Factor structure

Citation: - Full citation of original scale development

Permission: - Note if permission obtained to use

Measure 2: [**Name of Instrument**] **Description:**

Example items:

Psychometric properties:

Citation:

Measure 3: [**Name of Instrument**] **Description:**

Example items:

Psychometric properties:

Citation:

Demographic Questionnaire Information collected: - Age, gender, race/ethnicity, etc. - Other relevant background variables

C. Procedure

Note: Write in past tense. Provide enough detail for replication.

Overview: - Brief summary of what participants experienced - Duration of study

Detailed procedure:

Step 1: Recruitment and Screening - How participants were contacted - Screening process (if applicable) - Time frame

Step 2: Informed Consent - Consent procedure - Information provided to participants - Voluntary nature emphasized

Step 3: Baseline/Pre-test - What measures were administered - In what order - How administered (online, in-person, etc.) - Approximate time required

Step 4: Intervention/Manipulation (if applicable)

Experimental condition: - Detailed description of treatment/intervention - Duration and frequency - Who delivered intervention - Materials used - Fidelity checks

Control condition: - What control group received - Duration - Attention control considerations

Random assignment procedure: - How randomization was conducted - Stratification (if used) - Blinding procedures

Step 5: Post-test/Follow-up - When administered (immediately after, 1 week, 1 month, etc.) - What measures were repeated - Retention procedures

Step 6: Debriefing - What information was provided - Resources offered - Questions addressed

D. Design

Research design type: - Experimental, quasi-experimental, correlational, longitudinal, cross-sectional, etc.

Independent variables: - Variable 1: (levels, manipulation) - Variable 2:

Dependent variables: - Variable 1: - Variable 2:

Control variables: - Variables controlled statistically or through design

Design notation: - Example: 2 (Group: Intervention vs. Control) $\times$ 2 (Time: Pre vs. Post) mixed design

E. Data Analysis Plan

Data preparation: - Data screening procedures - Missing data handling - Outlier detection - Assumption testing

Statistical software: - Program and version (e.g., SPSS Version 28, R Version 4.2)

Descriptive statistics: - What descriptive analyses will be conducted

Inferential statistics:

For Hypothesis 1: - Statistical test: (e.g., independent samples t-test) - Variables included - Significance level: = .05 - Effect size measure: (e.g., Cohen's d)

For Hypothesis 2: - Statistical test: (e.g., repeated measures ANOVA) - Variables included - Post-hoc tests if significant - Effect size measure: (e.g., partial ^{2})

For Hypothesis 3: - Statistical test: - Variables included - Effect size measure:

Additional analyses: - Exploratory analyses - Sensitivity analyses - Subgroup analyses

For qualitative data (if applicable): - Coding procedure - Theme development - Inter-rater reliability - Software used (e.g., NVivo, ATLAS.ti)

V. Results

Note: Report results objectively without interpretation. Use past tense.

A. Preliminary Analyses

Data screening: - Missing data: Amount and pattern - How missing data were handled - Outliers: Number identified and how handled

Assumption testing: - Normality (e.g., Shapiro-Wilk test, skewness/kurtosis values) - Homogeneity of variance (e.g., Levene's test) - Independence of observations - Results and any violations

Randomization check (if applicable): - Comparison of groups at baseline - Any significant differences

B. Descriptive Statistics

Sample characteristics: - Final N for analyses - Demographic breakdown

Table 1: *Participant Demographics* - Organize key demographic information

Descriptive statistics for main variables:

Table 2: *Descriptive Statistics for Study Variables* | Variable | M | SD | Min | Max | Skew | Kurt | |———-|—|—-|—-|—|——|——| | | | | | | | |

Correlations:

Table 3: *Correlations Among Study Variables* | Variable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |———-|—|—|—|—|—|—| | 1. | - | | | | | | 2. | | - | | | |

Note: *p < .05. **p < .01.

C. Primary Analyses

Note: Present results for each hypothesis/research question in order

Results for Hypothesis 1 Restate hypothesis: - Brief reminder of prediction

Statistical test conducted: - Example: "An independent samples t-test was conducted to compare stress levels between the intervention group and control group."

Detailed results: - Example: "The intervention group reported significantly lower stress (M = 32.4, SD = 8.2) than the control group (M = 41.7, SD = 9.3), t(265) = 8.45, p < .001, d = 1.04, 95% CI [0.84, 1.24]."

Effect size interpretation: - Small, medium, or large effect

Figure 1: [Title: Visualization of Results] - Bar graph, line graph, etc. - Clear labels and error bars

Hypothesis outcome: - Supported or not supported (save interpretation for Discussion)

Results for Hypothesis 2 Restate hypothesis:

Statistical test:

Detailed results: - Include all relevant statistics - F values with df - p values - Effect sizes - Confidence intervals

Post-hoc comparisons (if applicable): - Which groups differed - Corrections for multiple comparisons

Table 4 (if needed): *Results of [Analysis Type]*

Figure 2 (if needed):

Hypothesis outcome:

Results for Hypothesis 3 Restate hypothesis:

Statistical test:

Detailed results:

Hypothesis outcome:

D. Secondary/Exploratory Analyses

Additional findings: - Unexpected patterns - Exploratory correlations - Subgroup differences

Mediational analyses (if applicable): - Test of indirect effects - Bootstrap confidence intervals

Moderational analyses (if applicable): - Interaction effects - Simple slopes analysis

E. Summary of Results

Brief overview: - Which hypotheses were supported? - Main patterns in the data

---

VI. Discussion

A. Summary of Findings

Restate main results: - Brief recap of key findings (2-3 sentences) - Do NOT repeat all statistics

Example: "As hypothesized, participants who received mindfulness training reported significantly lower academic stress and higher wellbeing compared to control participants. These effects were maintained at 1-month follow-up."

B. Interpretation of Results

Interpretation of Finding 1 What does this mean? - Explain the result in plain language

Why did we find this? - Theoretical explanation - Mechanism

Connection to theory: - How findings support or challenge theoretical framework - Example: "These results align with Self-Determination Theory's emphasis on..."

Comparison with previous research: - Consistent with: (Author et al., Year; Author, Year) - Inconsistent with: (Author & Author, Year) - Possible explanations for differences

Interpretation of Finding 2 **What does this mean?**

Why did we find this?

Connection to theory:

Comparison with previous research:

Interpretation of Finding 3 **What does this mean?**

Why did we find this?

Connection to theory:

Comparison with previous research:

C. Theoretical Implications

Contribution to theory: - How findings advance theoretical understanding - Support for existing theory - Need for theory modification - Novel theoretical insights

Integration with literature: - How findings fit into broader body of research - Resolution of previous contradictions

Conceptual advances: - New ways of thinking about the phenomenon

D. Practical Implications

Note: This is especially important for applied fields

Implications for Practice **For practitioners:** - How can professionals use these findings? - Practical recommendations - Implementation considerations

Example for education: "These findings suggest that educators could reduce student stress by incorporating brief mindfulness exercises into classroom routines."

Example for clinical practice: "Clinicians working with anxious clients may benefit from..."

For policy makers: - Policy-relevant implications - Recommendations for programs or regulations

For organizations: - Workplace applications - Training implications

Implications for Specific Stakeholders **For [Stakeholder Group 1]:** - Specific recommendations - How to apply findings

For [Stakeholder Group 2]: - Recommendations

For [Stakeholder Group 3]: - Recommendations

E. Strengths of the Study

Methodological strengths: - Strong research design - Large sample size - High-quality measures - Randomization - Longitudinal follow-up - Control of confounds

Theoretical strengths: - Strong theoretical foundation - Integration of multiple perspectives

Practical strengths: - Real-world applicability - Feasible intervention - Diverse sample

F. Limitations

Note: Be honest but not overly apologetic

Sample Limitations Generalizability concerns: - Limited demographic diversity - Specific geographic location - Convenience sampling - Self-selection bias

Who these results may not apply to:

Sample size: - Insufficient power for subgroup analyses (if applicable)

Methodological Limitations Design limitations: - Lack of random assignment (if applicable) - Cross-sectional design (if applicable) - No long-term follow-up

Measurement limitations: - Self-report bias - Social desirability - Demand characteristics - Limited construct coverage

Procedural limitations: - Attrition - Fidelity issues - Contamination between conditions

Analytical Limitations Statistical issues: - Multiple comparisons - Assumption violations - Correlational nature (cannot infer causation)

Conceptual Limitations Theoretical gaps: - Alternative explanations not tested - Unmeasured confounds

Scope limitations: - Variables not included - Complexity not fully captured

G. Future Research Directions

Replication: - Need to replicate findings - Different populations to test - Different contexts

Extension: - Longitudinal research needed - Additional variables to examine - Mediating mechanisms to test - Moderating factors to explore

Methodological improvements: - Different research designs - Better measures - Larger samples - More diverse samples

Specific research questions: - Question 1: [Specific future study] - Question 2: [Specific future study] - Question 3: [Specific future study]

VII. Conclusion

Brief section (1 paragraph)

Main take-away: - Concise summary of key finding - Answer to research question

Significance: - Why this matters - Contribution to field

Final thought: - Broader context - Forward-looking statement

Example: "In conclusion, this study demonstrates that brief mindfulness interventions can significantly reduce academic stress in college students. These findings suggest promising avenues for supporting student mental health during critical transition periods. As universities face increasing concerns about student wellbeing, evidence-based interventions like mindfulness training offer feasible and effective solutions."

VIII. References

Note: APA 7th edition format. Hanging indent. Alphabetical order.

Journal Article (up to 20 authors):

Author, A. A., Author, B. B., & Author, C. C. (Year). Title of article. *Title of Journal, Volume*(Issue), page-page. https://doi.org/xxxxx

Journal Article (21+ authors):

List first 19 authors, insert ellipsis (…), then final author.

Book:

Author, A. A. (Year). *Title of book* (Edition if not first). Publisher Name. https://doi.org/xxxxx

Edited Book Chapter:

Author, A. A., & Author, B. B. (Year). Title of chapter. In E. E. Editor & F. F. Editor (Eds.), *Title of book* (pp. xxx-xxx). Publisher Name.

Website:

Author or Organization. (Year, Month Day). *Title of page.* Site Name. URL

Report:

Author, A. A. (Year). *Title of report* (Report No. xxx). Publisher. URL

Dissertation:

Author, A. A. (Year). *Title of dissertation* [Doctoral dissertation, University Name]. Database Name. URL

IX. Tables

Format guidelines: - Number consecutively (Table 1, Table 2) - Place after references (student papers) or in text (professional papers) - Each table on separate page - Title in italics above table - Notes below table

Table format:

Table 1

Descriptive Title in Title Case and Italics

[Table content with clear column headers and rows]

Note. Explanation of abbreviations, significance levels, or other information. $p < .05$. $\mathbf{p < .01}$. $p < .001$.

X. Figures

Format guidelines: - Number consecutively (Figure 1, Figure 2) - Place after tables - Each figure on separate page - Title below figure in italics - Should be understandable without text

Figure format:

Figure 1

Descriptive Title in Title Case and Italics

[Figure image]

Note. Any necessary explanation. Error bars represent ±1 SE.

XI. Appendices

Order: - Appendix A - Appendix B - Etc.

Appendix A: Full Text of Measures - Include surveys or questionnaires (if allowed by copyright)

Appendix B: Informed Consent Form - Full consent document

Appendix C: Debriefing Materials - Debriefing script or document

Appendix D: Supplementary Analyses - Additional tables or figures - Analyses not central to main narrative

Appendix E: IRB Approval - Ethics approval documentation

APA Formatting Guidelines

General Format

Margins: 1 inch on all sides

Font: - 12-point Times New Roman, or - 11-point Calibri, or - 11-point Arial

Line spacing: Double-space everything

Paragraph indentation: 0.5 inch

Page numbers: Top right corner, starting with title page

Running head: Professional papers only (student papers do not need running head)

Headings

Level 1: Centered, Bold, Title Case

Level 2: Flush Left, Bold, Title Case

Level 3: Flush Left, Bold Italic, Title Case

Level 4: Indented, Bold, Title Case, Ending With Period.

Level 5: Indented, Bold Italic, Title Case, Ending With Period.

In-Text Citations

One author: (Smith, 2020) or Smith (2020) found…

Two authors: (Smith & Jones, 2020) or Smith and Jones (2020) found…

Three or more authors: (Smith et al., 2020) or Smith et al. (2020) found…

Multiple works: (Smith, 2018, 2020; Jones, 2019)

Direct quote: (Smith, 2020, p. 15) or (Smith, 2020, para. 4)

Statistical Reporting

General format: Statistic(df) = value, p = value, effect size = value

Examples: - t(98) = 4.21, p < .001, d = 0.85 - F(2, 147) = 12.34, p < .001, p^2 = .14 - r(265) = .45, p < .001, 95% CI [.35, .54] - ^{2}(3) = 18.76, p < .001, = .28

Report exact p values (p = .027) unless p < .001

Always include effect sizes

Social Science Research Tips

Common Effect Sizes

Cohen's d: - Small: 0.20 - Medium: 0.50 - Large: 0.80

Pearson's r: - Small: .10 - Medium: .30 - Large: .50

Partial ^{2} (eta squared): - Small: .01 - Medium: .06 - Large: .14

Writing Style

Use active voice when possible - Preferred: "We found…" - Less preferred: "It was found…"

Use past tense for procedure and results - "Participants completed…"

Use present tense for conclusions - "The findings suggest…"

Person: - Use first person when referring to your research decisions - "We hypothesized…" - Avoid: "The researchers hypothesized…"

Common Mistakes to Avoid

- Using "prove" or "proof" (say "support" or "evidence")
- Saying "significant" without specifying statistical vs. practical
- Confusing correlation with causation
- Over-interpreting null results
- Ignoring limitations
- Not reporting effect sizes
- Cherry-picking results
- HARKing (Hypothesizing After Results are Known)

Quality Checklist

☐ Title page formatted correctly
☐ Abstract within word limit with keywords
☐ Clear theoretical framework

☐ Comprehensive literature review organized thematically
☐ Specific, testable hypotheses
☐ Detailed methodology section
☐ Sufficient sample size
☐ Reliable and valid measures
☐ Appropriate statistical analyses
☐ All results reported (including null findings)
☐ Effect sizes and confidence intervals included
☐ Results interpreted in light of theory
☐ Practical implications discussed
☐ Limitations acknowledged
☐ Future directions specified
☐ All citations in APA format
☐ Reference list complete and formatted correctly
☐ Tables and figures properly formatted
☐ Ethical approval obtained and noted
☐ Writing clear and concise
☐ Grammar and spelling checked