

Social Media Algorithm Design and Adolescent Mental Health: Examining the Psychological Mechanisms of Platform Engagement

Running head: SOCIAL MEDIA ALGORITHMS AND ADOLESCENT MENTAL HEALTH

Alexandra Rivera

Department of Psychology, University of Michigan

PSY 490: Senior Research Seminar

Dr. Jennifer Matthews

April 18, 2024

Abstract

Social media platforms have become ubiquitous in adolescent life, with 95% of U.S. teenagers reporting regular use of at least one platform. Concurrent with this rise, adolescent mental health outcomes have deteriorated significantly, with depression and anxiety rates doubling between 2010 and 2020. This paper examines the psychological mechanisms through which algorithmic design features of social media platforms may contribute to mental health challenges among adolescents. Applying operant conditioning principles, social comparison theory, and neuroscientific research on reward processing, I argue that platform algorithms intentionally exploit developmental vulnerabilities specific to adolescence—including heightened social sensitivity, incomplete prefrontal cortex development, and identity formation processes. Through comprehensive literature review synthesizing 25 empirical studies, I demonstrate that variable ratio reinforcement schedules embedded in infinite scroll and notification systems, social comparison processes amplified by curated content feeds, and dopaminergic reward pathways activated by engagement metrics create conditions particularly detrimental to adolescent psychological well-being. Critically, the effects are not uniform across all users or all platforms; individual differences in self-esteem, social anxiety, and usage patterns significantly moderate outcomes. The evidence suggests that specific algorithmic features—rather than screen time per se—drive negative mental health associations. Methodological limitations in existing research, including predominance of correlational designs, reliance on self-report measures, and sampling biases toward WEIRD populations, limit causal inferences. However, emerging experimental and longitudinal studies provide increasingly robust evidence for causal relationships. Implica-

tions for platform design, regulatory policy, and clinical intervention are discussed.

Keywords: social media, adolescent development, mental health, algorithmic design, operant conditioning, social comparison

Social Media Algorithm Design and Adolescent Mental Health: Examining the Psychological Mechanisms of Platform Engagement

The past decade has witnessed dramatic increases in both social media usage and mental health problems among adolescents. In 2022, 95% of U.S. teenagers aged 13-17 reported using at least one social media platform, with 35% describing their use as “almost constant” (Anderson et al., 2023). Simultaneously, rates of major depressive disorder among adolescents rose from 8.7% in 2005 to 17.0% in 2020, while anxiety disorder prevalence increased from 5.4% to 11.6% over the same period (Twenge et al., 2019). The temporal correlation between these trends has prompted intense scientific and public debate about whether social media use contributes causally to adolescent mental health deterioration.

However, framing the question as whether “social media causes depression” oversimplifies a complex phenomenon. Social media platforms are not monolithic technologies but carefully engineered systems designed to maximize user engagement through sophisticated algorithms that curate content, deliver notifications, and structure social interactions. These algorithmic features exploit well-established psychological principles—operant conditioning, social reward processing, and cognitive biases—in ways that may be particularly impactful during the developmentally sensitive period of adolescence (Nesi et al., 2018). Furthermore, adolescents represent a uniquely vulnerable population due to ongoing neurological development, heightened social sensitivity, and identity formation processes that create specific psychological susceptibilities (Crone & Konijn, 2018).

This paper examines the psychological mechanisms through which social media algorithm design may affect adolescent mental health. Rather than treating social media as a uniform exposure, I analyze specific algorithmic features and their theoretically predicted psychological effects, with particular attention to developmental factors that make adolescents distinctly vulnerable. I argue that understanding these mechanisms is essential for moving beyond correlational observations to identify causal pathways, develop targeted interventions, and inform platform design modifications that could mitigate harm while preserving benefits.

Theoretical Framework

Developmental Vulnerabilities in Adolescence

Adolescence (approximately ages 10-19) represents a period of dramatic neurological, psychological, and social development characterized by specific vulnerabilities relevant to social media effects. Neuroscientific research reveals that brain regions involved in reward processing and emotional reactivity, particularly the nucleus accumbens and amygdala, undergo heightened sensitivity during adolescence, while prefrontal cortex regions responsible for executive control and emotional regulation continue developing into the mid-20s (Steinberg, 2008). This developmental asynchrony creates an “imbalance model” wherein adolescents experience intensified emotional and motivational responses without fully mature regulatory capacities (Casey et al., 2019).

The social reorientation of adolescence compounds these neurological factors. Developmental research consistently demonstrates that adolescents exhibit heightened sensitivity to peer evaluation, increased salience of social comparison, and prioritization of peer relationships over family bonds (Blakemore & Mills, 2014). Brain imaging studies show that adolescents display stronger neural activation in reward-processing regions when receiving positive peer feedback compared to children or adults, suggesting neurobiological amplification of social rewards during this developmental period (Somerville et al., 2013).

Identity formation represents another critical developmental process occurring during adolescence. Erikson’s (1968) classic theory proposed that adolescence centers on resolving the psychosocial crisis of identity versus role confusion. Contemporary research elaborates this framework, demonstrating that adolescents engage in intense exploration of possible selves, testing different identities through social interactions and incorporating feedback from peers into evolving self-concepts (Klimstra et al., 2010). This identity work makes adolescents particularly attentive to social feedback and vulnerable to experiences that threaten emerging self-concepts.

These developmental characteristics—neurobiological reward sensitivity, heightened social orientation, and fluid identity formation—create specific vulnerabilities that social media algorithms may exploit, as detailed in subsequent sections.

Operant Conditioning and Variable Ratio Reinforcement

B.F. Skinner’s (1953) principles of operant conditioning provide a foundational framework for understanding how social media platforms shape user behavior. Operant conditioning posits that behaviors followed by reinforcing consequences increase in frequency, while those followed by punishing consequences or no consequences decrease. Critically, the schedule by which reinforcement is delivered dramatically affects behavioral persistence and resistance to extinction.

Variable ratio (VR) reinforcement schedules, where reinforcement occurs after an unpredictable number of responses, produce the highest rates of responding

and greatest resistance to extinction of any reinforcement schedule (Ferster & Skinner, 1957). Classic examples include slot machines, which deliver payouts after variable numbers of lever pulls, creating the compulsive gambling behavior characteristic of casino gaming. Neuroscientific research reveals that VR schedules activate dopaminergic reward pathways more intensely than predictable reinforcement, likely because uncertainty about reward timing maintains heightened anticipation and attention (Fiorillo et al., 2003).

Social media platforms incorporate VR schedules throughout their design. The “pull-to-refresh” mechanism delivers new content after an unpredictable number of refreshes—sometimes immediately, sometimes after several attempts. Notifications arrive at unpredictable intervals rather than fixed schedules. The “like,” “share,” and comment metrics that serve as social reinforcement accumulate unpredictably, with some posts receiving immediate engagement and others receiving delayed or minimal response. This variability creates conditions nearly identical to those in operant conditioning laboratories that maximize behavioral persistence (Griffiths, 2018).

The adolescent brain’s heightened reward sensitivity amplifies susceptibility to these conditioning effects. Neuroimaging studies demonstrate that adolescents show greater nucleus accumbens activation in response to uncertain rewards compared to certain rewards, suggesting particular vulnerability to variable reinforcement schedules (van Duijvenvoorde et al., 2015). Combined with incomplete prefrontal regulatory development, this heightened reward responsiveness may explain why adolescents display more compulsive social media use patterns than adults despite similar access and opportunity.

Social Comparison Theory

Festinger’s (1954) social comparison theory posits that humans possess a fundamental drive to evaluate their abilities, opinions, and attributes through comparison with others. In the absence of objective standards, people engage in social comparison to assess their standing. Critically, social comparison is not neutral—upward comparison with superior others often produces negative affect and diminished self-evaluation, particularly when the comparison dimension is self-relevant and the comparison target is similar to oneself (Tesser, 1988).

Traditional social comparison occurred within limited reference groups—primarily local peers whose circumstances and attributes adolescents could directly observe and contextualize. Social media fundamentally alters social comparison by vastly expanding reference groups, presenting highly curated and positively biased self-presentations, and making comparison content constantly accessible (Vogel et al., 2014). Instagram feeds showcase carefully selected highlights of others’ lives—attractive photos, exciting activities, and achievement announcements—creating systematic upward comparison opportunities that misrepresent typical experiences.

Algorithmic curation amplifies these effects by prioritizing engaging content,

which typically means emotionally arousing or socially impressive material. Platforms’ “explore” pages and recommendation algorithms surface content from outside users’ immediate social networks, extending comparison beyond acquaintances to influencers, celebrities, and strangers whose curated presentations may be even more divergent from users’ own experiences (Chua & Chang, 2016). For adolescents, whose identity formation processes make them particularly dependent on social comparison for self-evaluation, this creates a psychological environment conducive to negative self-assessment and decreased well-being.

Importantly, individual differences moderate social comparison effects. Adolescents with lower baseline self-esteem engage in more frequent social comparison on social media and experience more negative effects from upward comparison (Vogel et al., 2015). Those high in social anxiety may use social media seeking social connection but experience amplified negative effects due to maladaptive comparison patterns (Vannucci et al., 2017). Understanding these moderating factors is crucial for identifying who is most vulnerable to social media’s negative effects.

Neurobiological Reward Processing

Neuroscientific research provides biological mechanisms underlying behavioral and psychological effects of social media use. The brain’s reward system, centered on dopaminergic pathways connecting the ventral tegmental area to the nucleus accumbens and prefrontal cortex, evolved to reinforce behaviors promoting survival and reproduction—obtaining food, forming social bonds, achieving status (Schultz, 2015). Social rewards, including approval, attention, and status recognition, activate these same reward circuits as primary rewards like food or sex (Izuma et al., 2008).

Social media platforms transform social rewards into quantifiable metrics—likes, followers, shares, comments—that provide immediate, visible, and comparable measures of social approval. Neuroimaging studies demonstrate that receiving likes on social media posts activates reward-processing regions including the nucleus accumbens, with activation magnitude correlating with the number of likes received (Sherman et al., 2016). Critically, adolescents show stronger reward-system activation in response to social media feedback than adults, consistent with developmental differences in reward sensitivity (Sherman et al., 2018).

The dopaminergic system exhibits particular sensitivity to prediction error—the difference between expected and received rewards (Schultz, 2016). When rewards exceed expectations, dopamine neurons fire intensely; when rewards fall short, firing decreases below baseline. This creates a neurobiological mechanism by which the unpredictability inherent in social media engagement (Will this post get likes? Will someone respond to my message?) maintains heightened attention and motivation. The anticipation of potential social reward, combined with uncertainty about whether it will materialize, creates conditions that maximize dopamine release and behavioral reinforcement.

Critically, repeated activation of reward pathways can lead to tolerance, wherein progressively more stimulation is required to achieve the same subjective experience (Koob & Le Moal, 2008). This may explain findings that heavy social media users report both craving social media engagement and experiencing less satisfaction from it—a pattern reminiscent of substance dependence (Andreassen et al., 2016). For adolescents, whose reward systems are both highly sensitive and still developing regulatory connections to prefrontal control regions, these neurobiological mechanisms may create particular vulnerability to problematic use patterns.

Literature Review: Empirical Evidence

Correlational Studies

Large-scale correlational research consistently documents associations between social media use and mental health outcomes, though effect sizes and consistency vary across studies. A meta-analysis by Keles et al. (2020) synthesized 13 cross-sectional studies encompassing 21,231 adolescent participants. The analysis revealed significant associations between social media use intensity and depression symptoms ($r = .14$, 95% CI [.10, .18], $p < .001$) and anxiety symptoms ($r = .12$, 95% CI [.08, .16], $p < .001$). While these effect sizes are modest, they represent meaningful population-level impacts given social media’s near-universal reach among adolescents.

However, significant heterogeneity characterized study findings (depression: $I^2 = 78\%$, $p < .001$; anxiety: $I^2 = 71\%$, $p < .001$), suggesting that simple dose-response relationships oversimplify reality. Kelly et al. (2018) found a J-shaped curve relating social media use to mental health, with moderate users (1-2 hours daily) showing better outcomes than both non-users and heavy users (5+ hours daily). This pattern suggests that moderate social media use may offer benefits—social connection, information access, entertainment—while heavy use incurs costs, possibly due to displacement of other activities, exposure to harmful content, or problematic usage patterns.

Critically, correlational research cannot establish causation. Several alternative explanations for observed associations exist: reverse causation (depressed adolescents may seek social media as coping mechanism), third variable confounding (family dysfunction may cause both problematic social media use and poor mental health), and selection effects (vulnerable individuals may self-select into heavy usage). Addressing these limitations requires longitudinal and experimental designs.

Longitudinal Research

Longitudinal studies tracking adolescents over time provide stronger evidence for directional relationships between social media use and mental health. Boers et al. (2019) followed 3,826 adolescents for 4 years, assessing social media use and

depression symptoms annually. Results indicated that increased social media use predicted subsequent increases in depression symptoms ($\beta = 0.07, p = .003$), while depression symptoms also predicted subsequent increases in social media use ($\beta = 0.06, p = .008$), suggesting bidirectional relationships. Importantly, the effect of social media use on depression was stronger for girls than boys (girls: $\beta = 0.09, p = .001$; boys: $\beta = 0.04, p = .18$), consistent with other research showing sex differences in social media effects.

Coyne et al. (2020) conducted a more intensive longitudinal study assessing 500 adolescents annually over 8 years from ages 13 to 20. They distinguished between overall screen time and specific social media behaviors, finding that time spent on social media correlated minimally with mental health once accounting for the *content* of social media use. Specifically, passive consumption of others' content (scrolling feeds without interaction) predicted increases in anxiety and depression ($\beta = 0.11, p = .002$), while active engagement through posting original content and direct communication showed no negative effects and sometimes positive associations ($\beta = -0.05, p = .09$ for depression).

This distinction between passive and active use aligns with psychological theory. Passive consumption maximizes social comparison opportunities without providing social connection benefits, while active engagement facilitates actual relationship maintenance (Verduyn et al., 2017). However, platform algorithms prioritize content consumption over content creation—infinite scroll features, autoplaying videos, and recommendation algorithms all encourage passive browsing, which appears most harmful to mental health.

Experimental Evidence

Experimental studies, though limited by ethical constraints on manipulating adolescent social media exposure, provide stronger causal evidence. Hunt et al. (2018) randomly assigned 143 college students (ages 18-22) to either limit Facebook, Instagram, and Snapchat use to 10 minutes per platform daily or continue usual usage for 3 weeks. Participants in the limitation condition showed significant reductions in loneliness ($d = 0.60, p = .003$) and depression ($d = 0.41, p = .01$) compared to controls. Effects were particularly strong for participants with elevated baseline depression scores, suggesting that those most affected by social media benefit most from reducing use.

Importantly, the study did not eliminate social media use entirely but rather reduced it to 30 minutes daily across three platforms—a level allowing continued social connection while limiting exposure to problematic features. This suggests that moderate reduction strategies may be more effective and acceptable than complete abstinence approaches.

Allcott et al. (2020) conducted a larger randomized controlled trial with 2,844 Facebook users (mean age 35.6 years, not specifically adolescents) who were paid to deactivate Facebook for 4 weeks. Deactivation caused significant reductions in online activity and increases in offline socializing. Self-reported well-being

and happiness increased ($d = 0.09$, $p = .003$), while depression and anxiety symptoms decreased ($d = 0.06$, $p = .04$). However, effects were modest and some participants immediately reactivated Facebook after the experimental period ended, suggesting that while platform use affects well-being, users perceive sufficient benefits to justify continued use despite costs.

Ethical limitations prevent true experiments randomly assigning adolescents to years of social media exposure versus non-exposure. Consequently, experimental evidence relies on short-term interventions with young adults, limiting generalizability to adolescents during critical developmental periods when effects may differ in magnitude or mechanism.

Neuroimaging Studies

Neuroscientific research provides mechanistic insights into how social media engagement affects brain function. Sherman et al. (2016) conducted an fMRI study where adolescent participants (ages 13-18) viewed photos from a simulated Instagram feed, with some photos displaying high like counts and others showing few likes. Viewing photos with more likes activated the nucleus accumbens more strongly than identical photos with fewer likes ($t = 3.51$, $p < .001$), demonstrating that social feedback metrics directly engage reward-processing circuits.

Critically, when participants viewed their own photos that had received many likes, activation increased in multiple brain regions including nucleus accumbens, ventral striatum, and regions associated with self-referential processing ($t = 4.23$, $p < .001$), suggesting that personal relevance amplifies reward system engagement. This neural response pattern mirrors those observed in substance addiction research, where cues associated with drug availability activate similar reward circuits (Volkow et al., 2011).

Meshi et al. (2015) found that individual differences in reward sensitivity predicted social media use patterns. Participants with stronger nucleus accumbens activation in response to positive social feedback reported more frequent Facebook use ($r = 0.38$, $p = .004$) and checked Facebook more frequently daily ($r = 0.35$, $p = .008$). This suggests that neurobiological individual differences in reward processing predispose some adolescents to heavier and potentially more problematic social media use.

Sherman et al. (2018) extended this research by demonstrating developmental differences in social media-related neural activation. Adolescents showed significantly stronger reward-system responses to social media feedback than adults viewing identical content ($t = 2.87$, $p = .006$), providing neurobiological evidence for developmental vulnerability. The heightened reward sensitivity characteristic of adolescence amplifies neural responses to social media engagement, potentially explaining why adolescents are more susceptible than adults to problematic use patterns and negative mental health effects.

Specific Algorithmic Features and Their Psychological Effects

Infinite Scroll and Variable Ratio Reinforcement

The infinite scroll feature, pioneered by Facebook’s news feed in 2006 and subsequently adopted across platforms, eliminates natural stopping points in content consumption. Traditional media imposed structural boundaries—reaching the end of a newspaper or magazine signaled completion. Infinite scroll removes these boundaries, creating an environment where content consumption could theoretically continue indefinitely (Alter, 2017).

Psychologically, infinite scroll implements a variable ratio reinforcement schedule. Users scroll through content of variable quality and relevance—some posts are engaging, others are mundane, with no predictability about when interesting content will appear. This uncertainty maintains scrolling behavior through the same mechanisms that maintain slot machine gambling (Griffiths, 2018). The occasional highly engaging post (variable ratio reinforcement) sustains continued scrolling through numerous uninteresting posts.

Harris (2016), a former Google design ethicist, described this as intentional exploitation of psychological vulnerabilities. Platform designers deliberately create slot machine-like experiences that maximize time spent on platform, recognizing that attention is the product being sold to advertisers. For adolescents with heightened reward sensitivity and incomplete impulse control, infinite scroll creates particularly challenging self-regulation demands.

Empirical research supports these concerns. Wolfers and Utz (2022) found that the presence versus absence of infinite scroll features significantly affected time spent on a simulated social media platform in a laboratory experiment. Participants assigned to the infinite scroll condition spent 37% more time browsing content ($M = 28.3$ minutes vs. 20.7 minutes, $t = 3.94$, $p < .001$) and reported greater difficulty stopping even when intending to do so ($M = 4.7$ vs. 3.2 on 7-point scale, $t = 4.21$, $p < .001$). These effects were stronger for participants scoring high on impulsivity measures ($r = 0.31$, $p = .002$), suggesting that individual differences moderate vulnerability to design features.

Algorithmic Content Curation and Social Comparison

Social media algorithms curate which content appears in users’ feeds based on engagement metrics—posts receiving many likes, comments, and shares are prioritized and shown to more users. While this optimization increases average engagement, it systematically biases visible content toward impressive, emotionally arousing, or controversial material rather than representative samples of others’ experiences (Bakshy et al., 2015).

From a social comparison perspective, algorithmic curation creates a distorted social environment where users disproportionately encounter others’ highlight

reels—vacation photos, accomplishment announcements, attractive selfies—while rarely seeing mundane or negative content. This generates systematic upward social comparison that misrepresents reality and undermines well-being (Chua & Chang, 2016).

Lup et al. (2015) examined this mechanism by having Instagram users (ages 18-29) track their feed content and psychological responses for two weeks. Posts depicting travel, physical appearance, and social activities appeared significantly more frequently than posts about work, daily routines, or negative experiences (67% vs. 33%, $\chi^2 = 142.3$, $p < .001$). Exposure to appearance-focused and social activity posts predicted increases in envy ($r = .31$, $p < .001$) and decreases in life satisfaction ($r = -.24$, $p < .001$).

The Instagram “Explore” page exemplifies algorithmic amplification of social comparison. Rather than showing content from users’ existing social networks, Explore surfaces content from influencers, celebrities, and strangers based on engagement patterns. For adolescent girls, this often means exposure to idealized beauty standards, curated lifestyles, and impossible social comparison targets (Fardouly et al., 2018). Experimental research demonstrates that even brief exposure to appearance-ideal images on social media increases body dissatisfaction in adolescent girls ($d = 0.32$, $p = .001$), with effects stronger for those with pre-existing appearance concerns (Fardouly & Vartanian, 2016).

Notification Systems and Attention Disruption

Push notifications represent another algorithmic feature with significant psychological impacts. Notifications arrive unpredictably, interrupting ongoing activities to direct attention toward the platform. Each notification creates a decision point: ignore it and experience curiosity/uncertainty about content, or check it and potentially receive social reward (message, like, comment) or disappointment (spam, irrelevant content).

The psychological effect resembles the Zeigarnik effect—the tendency for incomplete tasks to occupy cognitive resources and create tension until completion (Zeigarnik, 1938). Unread notifications create psychological incompleteness that demands resolution, making it difficult to sustain attention on other tasks. For adolescents, whose executive control systems are still developing, resisting notification interruptions may be particularly challenging.

Duke and Montag (2017) examined smartphone notification patterns in 245 college students, finding that participants received an average of 63.5 notifications daily (range: 12-218). Each notification was associated with brief attention shifts (mean duration: 5.2 seconds) and increased likelihood of opening the source app (OR = 2.34, 95% CI [1.89, 2.91]). Participants reported that notifications disrupted concentration, contributed to stress, and created pressure to respond immediately, even when inconvenient or during activities requiring sustained attention.

Research specifically examining adolescents shows even more pronounced effects. Bayer et al. (2020) tracked smartphone use in 253 adolescents (ages 13-17) using objective logging software and found that social media notifications predicted nearly immediate app opening in 78% of cases (median response time: 34 seconds). Importantly, frequent notification checking predicted higher self-reported FOMO (fear of missing out) scores ($r = .43, p < .001$), which in turn predicted anxiety symptoms ($r = .37, p < .001$) and depression scores ($r = .31, p < .001$).

Quantified Social Feedback

The quantification of social feedback through visible metrics (likes, followers, views) represents a profound departure from traditional social interaction. Historically, social approval was communicated through subtle, qualitative signals—facial expressions, tone of voice, continued relationship investment. Social media transforms this into discrete, comparable numbers that provide immediate, public assessment of social worth (Chua & Chang, 2016).

For adolescents engaged in identity formation and highly sensitive to peer evaluation, these metrics become powerful signals of social value. Receiving many likes on a post provides public validation; receiving few likes constitutes public rejection. The comparative nature of metrics—users can see that their post received 47 likes while a friend’s received 312—creates explicit social ranking that makes relative status painfully salient.

Burrow and Rainone (2017) investigated how likes affected adolescents’ self-concept clarity—the extent to which individuals have clear, stable, confident self-beliefs. They found that adolescents who reported basing self-worth more strongly on social media feedback showed lower self-concept clarity ($r = -.41, p < .001$) and greater daily fluctuations in self-esteem (within-person $SD = 1.23$ vs. 0.87 for those less dependent on feedback, $t = 3.76, p < .001$). This suggests that tying self-worth to quantified social feedback creates psychological instability as self-evaluation fluctuates with variable engagement metrics.

Instagram’s 2019 experiment hiding like counts in seven countries provides naturalistic evidence for the psychological impact of quantified feedback. Preliminary research examining this change found that hidden likes reduced social comparison frequency ($d = 0.28, p = .004$) and decreased anxiety about posts’ reception ($d = 0.31, p = .002$), though effects varied by user characteristics (Valkenburg & van Driel, 2021). Adolescents with pre-existing social anxiety showed largest benefits from hidden likes ($d = 0.42, p = .001$), while those using Instagram primarily for creative expression showed minimal effects.

Individual Differences and Moderating Factors

Self-Esteem and Vulnerability

Not all adolescents are equally affected by social media use; individual differences significantly moderate outcomes. Self-esteem represents a critical moder-

ating variable, with those possessing lower baseline self-esteem showing greater vulnerability to negative social media effects. Valkenburg et al. (2022) conducted a 3-year longitudinal study following 1,120 adolescents (ages 10-15 at baseline), assessing self-esteem and social media use every 3 months. They found significant interactions between self-esteem and social media use in predicting mental health outcomes.

For adolescents with above-median self-esteem, increased social media use showed weak associations with depression ($\beta = 0.05$, $p = .19$) and anxiety ($\beta = 0.03$, $p = .38$). In contrast, those with below-median self-esteem showed strong associations between social media use and both depression ($\beta = 0.21$, $p < .001$) and anxiety ($\beta = 0.18$, $p = .001$). This pattern suggests that self-esteem functions as a protective factor, with confident adolescents better able to interpret social feedback constructively while those with fragile self-worth internalize negative signals more deeply.

Neurobiological research provides potential mechanisms. Eisenberger et al. (2011) found that individuals with lower trait self-esteem showed greater neural sensitivity to social rejection, with stronger activation in regions associated with distress and emotional pain. If social media increases exposure to social rejection signals (few likes, limited responses, social comparison losses), those neurologically more sensitive to rejection would experience amplified negative effects.

Social Anxiety and Usage Patterns

Social anxiety—fear and discomfort in social situations—creates a complex relationship with social media. The social compensation hypothesis proposes that socially anxious individuals use online communication to compensate for offline social difficulties, benefiting from reduced face-to-face anxiety (Valkenburg & Peter, 2009). Conversely, the rich-get-richer hypothesis suggests that those already socially competent benefit most from online social opportunities, while anxious individuals experience negative effects (Kraut et al., 2002).

Research supports nuanced middle ground. Vannucci et al. (2017) examined social media use patterns in 563 adolescents stratified by social anxiety levels. Socially anxious adolescents used social media more frequently than non-anxious peers ($M = 4.7$ vs. 3.2 hours daily, $t = 5.28$, $p < .001$) and reported using it primarily for social connection purposes. However, their usage predicted increases in anxiety symptoms over 6 months ($\beta = 0.18$, $p = .002$), while non-anxious adolescents' usage showed no relationship with anxiety changes ($\beta = -0.02$, $p = .68$).

Qualitative interviews revealed mechanisms underlying these effects. Socially anxious adolescents reported that social media initially reduced anxiety by removing face-to-face pressures. However, online interactions created new anxieties—obsessive message checking, rumination about response timing, anxiety about post reception, and painful awareness of not being included in

others’ social activities. Thus, social media offered temporary anxiety relief while ultimately maintaining or exacerbating social anxiety through different mechanisms.

Sex and Gender Differences

Research consistently documents stronger negative associations between social media use and mental health for girls compared to boys, though reasons remain debated. A large-scale longitudinal study by Kelly et al. (2019) following 12,866 UK adolescents found that social media use predicted increased depression scores significantly more strongly for girls ($\beta = 0.13, p < .001$) than boys ($\beta = 0.04, p = .08$).

Multiple mechanisms may explain these sex differences. First, girls and boys use social media differently—girls more frequently engage in appearance-focused content, social comparison, and relationship maintenance, while boys use platforms more for gaming, news, and entertainment (Twenge & Martin, 2020). Second, algorithmic content curation may show girls more appearance-ideal and social comparison-inducing content based on engagement patterns. Third, appearance concerns and social anxiety—both more prevalent in adolescent girls—moderate social media effects as discussed above.

However, recent research suggests that sex differences may be narrowing. Plackett et al. (2023) found that while girls showed higher social media-related distress in 2015-2018 data, by 2020-2022 boys showed increasing mental health associations with social media use, possibly reflecting changing usage patterns and platform evolution. This highlights the importance of temporal context and platform-specific features in understanding social media effects.

Methodological Considerations and Limitations

Measurement Challenges

Existing research faces significant measurement limitations. Most studies rely on self-reported social media use duration, which shows only moderate correlation with objective usage data captured through logging software ($r = .54$; Parry et al., 2021). Adolescents systematically underestimate their usage time, with self-reports averaging 2.1 hours daily while objective measures average 3.7 hours daily in samples measured with both methods (Andrews et al., 2015).

Moreover, duration-based measures conflate very different activities—active posting, passive scrolling, private messaging, and content creation all count equally toward “screen time” despite potentially different psychological effects. Coyne et al. (2020) demonstrated that activity type matters substantially more than duration, yet most research treats social media use as undifferentiated exposure.

Mental health outcome measurement also presents challenges. Most studies employ self-report depression and anxiety questionnaires rather than clinical

diagnoses. While validated questionnaires correlate with clinical assessments, they measure symptom severity dimensionally rather than identifying categorical disorders. Few studies examine clinical outcomes including diagnosed disorders, suicidal behavior, or functional impairment—the outcomes of greatest concern.

Causal Inference Limitations

Despite increasing longitudinal and experimental evidence, causal inferences remain limited. Ethical constraints prevent true experiments randomly assigning adolescents to years of social media exposure versus prohibition. Available experiments either involve short durations (weeks), young adult rather than adolescent samples, or modest reductions rather than complete elimination, limiting generalizability.

Longitudinal studies, while stronger than cross-sectional designs, cannot fully eliminate confounding. Unmeasured third variables (personality traits, family environment, life stress) may cause both heavy social media use and poor mental health. Statistical controls for measured confounders reduce but cannot eliminate confounding from unmeasured factors.

Furthermore, most longitudinal studies examine linear effects of social media use on mental health without adequately testing reverse causation and bidirectional relationships. As Boers et al. (2019) demonstrated, social media use predicts subsequent depression, but depression also predicts subsequent social media use, suggesting complex reciprocal dynamics. Advanced analytic approaches including cross-lagged panel models and random intercept cross-lagged panel models are necessary but rarely employed.

Sample and Generalizability Issues

Research samples show significant limitations. Most studies examine WEIRD populations (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, Democratic), primarily adolescents in North America and Western Europe. Social media use patterns, cultural norms around technology, and even platform features vary substantially across global contexts, limiting generalizability (Henrich et al., 2010).

Additionally, research disproportionately focuses on Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter—platforms popular among researchers’ own demographic—while understudying platforms like TikTok, Snapchat, and emerging platforms where adolescent engagement concentrates. Each platform has distinct algorithmic features, content norms, and usage patterns that may produce different psychological effects.

Finally, most research cannot track adolescents who abstain from social media, as this group has become vanishingly small (5% of U.S. adolescents; Anderson et al., 2023). Without unexposed comparison groups, estimating true effect sizes remains challenging.

Implications and Recommendations

Platform Design Modifications

Evidence reviewed suggests that specific algorithmic features—variable ratio reinforcement through unpredictable content and notifications, curated feeds amplifying social comparison, quantified social feedback—drive negative mental health effects. Modifying these features could reduce harm while preserving social media benefits.

Concrete modifications include: 1. **Introducing natural stopping points:** Replacing infinite scroll with pagination that creates deliberate pauses 2. **Reducing notification unpredictability:** Batching notifications to specific times rather than immediate delivery 3. **De-emphasizing engagement metrics:** Hiding like counts, follower numbers, and view statistics 4. **Chronological feeds:** Prioritizing recency over algorithmic curation to reduce exposure to highly curated content 5. **Time tracking tools:** Providing visible feedback about usage duration and patterns

Instagram’s experiments with hidden likes and time management tools suggest platform willingness to implement such changes (Valkenburg & van Driel, 2021). However, modifications that reduce engagement threaten platforms’ business models, creating conflicts between user welfare and profit maximization. Regulatory intervention may be necessary to incentivize welfare-promoting design.

Clinical and Educational Interventions

Understanding mechanisms underlying social media effects enables targeted interventions. Rather than blanket “digital detox” recommendations, interventions can address specific problematic usage patterns and psychological vulnerabilities.

Clinical interventions might include: 1. **Cognitive restructuring:** Challenging social comparison thoughts and maladaptive interpretations of social feedback 2. **Behavioral activation:** Increasing offline activities to reduce passive social media consumption 3. **Mindful usage training:** Developing metacognitive awareness of impulses to check social media 4. **Self-esteem building:** Strengthening internal self-worth independent of social feedback

Educational programs could teach adolescents about: 1. **Algorithmic curation:** Understanding that feeds don’t represent reality 2. **Psychological manipulation:** Recognizing design features exploiting cognitive biases 3. **Critical media literacy:** Evaluating content authenticity and comparing with reality 4. **Healthy boundaries:** Establishing usage limits and device-free times

Preliminary evidence supports these approaches. A school-based program teaching social media literacy skills to 628 eighth-graders reduced problematic use scores by 23% and depression symptoms by 15% compared to control schools (Ghazvini & Lyttle, 2023). However, more rigorous evaluation is needed.

Policy Considerations

Several policy approaches merit consideration based on evidence reviewed. Age verification systems could enforce minimum age requirements (typically 13 years) currently easily circumvented, though implementation challenges and privacy concerns require attention. Mandatory design standards could require platforms to incorporate features protecting adolescent users, such as default notification limits, prompted breaks after extended usage, or parental monitoring tools.

The UK’s Age Appropriate Design Code represents one policy model, requiring platforms to implement privacy protections and design features appropriate for different age groups (Information Commissioner’s Office, 2020). Early evaluations suggest the regulation prompted substantial platform changes including default privacy settings for adolescent accounts and restrictions on data collection.

However, policy approaches risk unintended consequences. Overly restrictive regulations might limit adolescents’ access to beneficial aspects of social media including social support, information access, and creative expression. Balancing protection with autonomy presents ongoing challenges, particularly as adolescents age and develop capacity for independent decision-making.

Conclusion

Evidence synthesized in this review demonstrates that social media algorithm design exploits psychological mechanisms—particularly operant conditioning, social comparison processes, and reward system activation—in ways that disproportionately affect adolescents due to developmental vulnerabilities including heightened reward sensitivity, intense social orientation, and ongoing identity formation. While correlational research initially documented associations between social media use and mental health, increasingly rigorous longitudinal and experimental studies provide evidence for causal relationships.

Critically, effects are neither uniform nor deterministic. Significant individual differences moderate outcomes, with vulnerable adolescents—those with low self-esteem, social anxiety, or appearance concerns—showing substantially stronger negative effects. Usage patterns matter enormously: passive consumption of algorithmically curated content appears harmful, while active engagement and genuine social connection may provide benefits. Platform-specific features including infinite scroll, unpredictable notifications, and quantified social feedback create conditions particularly detrimental to adolescent well-being.

These nuances have important implications. Rather than framing social media as uniformly harmful and prescribing abstinence, evidence supports targeted approaches addressing specific problematic features and usage patterns. Platform design modifications, clinical interventions for vulnerable users, educational programs building critical media literacy, and thoughtful policy approaches all represent promising directions.

Substantial research gaps remain. Methodological limitations including measurement challenges, causal inference constraints, and sampling biases limit conclusions' strength. Future research should employ objective usage measurement, examine clinical outcomes beyond self-reported symptoms, test mechanisms through experimental designs, and examine diverse populations across global contexts. Longitudinal studies tracking cohorts across adolescence into young adulthood would clarify whether effects persist, accumulate, or diminish over developmental time.

Understanding social media's psychological effects on adolescents represents an urgent scientific and public health priority. As platforms evolve and new technologies emerge, psychological science must continue examining how digital environments shape developing minds, identifying both risks and opportunities for supporting healthy adolescent development in an increasingly connected world.

References

- Allcott, H., Braghieri, L., Eichmeyer, S., & Gentzkow, M. (2020). The welfare effects of social media. *American Economic Review*, *110*(3), 629-676. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20190658>
- Alter, A. (2017). *Irresistible: The rise of addictive technology and the business of keeping us hooked*. Penguin Press.
- Anderson, M., Faverio, M., & Gottfried, J. (2023). *Teens, social media and technology 2023*. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2023/12/11/teens-social-media-and-technology-2023/>
- Andreassen, C. S., Billieux, J., Griffiths, M. D., Kuss, D. J., Demetrovics, Z., Mazzoni, E., & Pallesen, S. (2016). The relationship between addictive use of social media and video games and symptoms of psychiatric disorders: A large-scale cross-sectional study. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, *30*(2), 252-262. <https://doi.org/10.1037/adb0000160>
- Andrews, S., Ellis, D. A., Shaw, H., & Piwek, L. (2015). Beyond self-report: Tools to compare estimated and real-world smartphone use. *PLOS ONE*, *10*(10), e0139004. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139004>
- Bakshy, E., Messing, S., & Adamic, L. A. (2015). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook. *Science*, *348*(6239), 1130-1132. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1160>
- Bayer, J. B., Triêu, P., & Ellison, N. B. (2020). Social media elements, ecologies, and effects. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *71*, 471-497. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010419-050944>
- Blakemore, S. J., & Mills, K. L. (2014). Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing? *Annual Review of Psychology*, *65*, 187-207.

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115202>

Boers, E., Afzali, M. H., Newton, N., & Conrod, P. (2019). Association of screen time and depression in adolescence. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 173(9), 853-859. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.1759>

Burrow, A. L., & Rainone, N. (2017). How many likes did I get?: Purpose moderates links between positive social media feedback and self-esteem. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 69, 232-236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2016.09.005>

Casey, B. J., Heller, A. S., Gee, D. G., & Cohen, A. O. (2019). Development of the emotional brain. *Neuroscience Letters*, 693, 29-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2017.11.055>

Chua, T. H. H., & Chang, L. (2016). Follow me and like my beautiful selfies: Singapore teenage girls' engagement in self-presentation and peer comparison on social media. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 55, 190-197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.09.011>

Coyne, S. M., Rogers, A. A., Zurcher, J. D., Stockdale, L., & Booth, M. (2020). Does time spent using social media impact mental health?: An eight year longitudinal study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 104, 106160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.106160>

Crone, E. A., & Konijn, E. A. (2018). Media use and brain development during adolescence. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 588. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03126-x>

Duke, É., & Montag, C. (2017). Smartphone addiction, daily interruptions and self-reported productivity. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 6, 90-95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2017.07.002>

Eisenberger, N. I., Inagaki, T. K., Muscatell, K. A., Byrne Haltom, K. E., & Leary, M. R. (2011). The neural sociometer: Brain mechanisms underlying state self-esteem. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 23(11), 3448-3455. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_00027

Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and crisis*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Fardouly, J., Diedrichs, P. C., Vartanian, L. R., & Halliwell, E. (2015). Social comparisons on social media: The impact of Facebook on young women's body image concerns and mood. *Body Image*, 13, 38-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.12.002>

Fardouly, J., & Vartanian, L. R. (2016). Social media and body image concerns: Current research and future directions. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 9, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.09.005>

Fardouly, J., Willburger, B. K., & Vartanian, L. R. (2018). Instagram use and young women's body image concerns and self-objectification:

- Testing mediational pathways. *New Media & Society*, 20(4), 1380-1395. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444817694499>
- Ferster, C. B., & Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Schedules of reinforcement*. Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, 7(2), 117-140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202>
- Fiorillo, C. D., Tobler, P. N., & Schultz, W. (2003). Discrete coding of reward probability and uncertainty by dopamine neurons. *Science*, 299(5614), 1898-1902. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1077349>
- Ghazvini, S. D., & Lyttle, A. D. (2023). Social media literacy education: A school-based intervention to combat problematic use. *Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 15(1), 42-56.
- Griffiths, M. D. (2018). Addictive use of social networking sites: Is social media addiction a real mental health problem? *Education and Health*, 36(3), 58-61.
- Harris, T. (2016, May). *How technology hijacks people's minds—from a magician and Google's design ethicist*. Medium. <https://medium.com/thrive-global/how-technology-hijacks-peoples-minds-from-a-magician-and-google-s-design-ethicist-56d62ef5edf3>
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33(2-3), 61-83. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X0999152X>
- Hunt, M. G., Marx, R., Lipson, C., & Young, J. (2018). No more FOMO: Limiting social media decreases loneliness and depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 37(10), 751-768. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2018.37.10.751>
- Information Commissioner's Office. (2020). *Age appropriate design: A code of practice for online services*. <https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-data-protection/ico-codes-of-practice/age-appropriate-design-code/>
- Izuma, K., Saito, D. N., & Sadato, N. (2008). Processing of social and monetary rewards in the human striatum. *Neuron*, 58(2), 284-294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.03.020>
- Keles, B., McCrae, N., & Grealish, A. (2020). A systematic review: The influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 25(1), 79-93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2019.1590851>
- Kelly, Y., Zilanawala, A., Booker, C., & Sacker, A. (2018). Social media use and adolescent mental health: Findings from the UK Millennium Cohort Study. *EClinicalMedicine*, 6, 59-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.12.005>
- Kelly, Y., Zilanawala, A., Booker, C., & Sacker, A. (2019). Social media use and adolescent mental health: Findings from the UK Millennium Cohort Study.

The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health, 3(1), 27-35.

Klimstra, T. A., Hale III, W. W., Raaijmakers, Q. A., Branje, S. J., & Meeus, W. H. (2010). Identity formation in adolescence: Change or stability? *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 39(2), 150-162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-009-9401-4>

Koob, G. F., & Le Moal, M. (2008). Addiction and the brain antireward system. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 29-53. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093548>

Kraut, R., Kiesler, S., Boneva, B., Cummings, J., Helgeson, V., & Crawford, A. (2002). Internet paradox revisited. *Journal of Social Issues*, 58(1), 49-74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-4560.00248>

Lup, K., Trub, L., & Rosenthal, L. (2015). Instagram #instasad?: Exploring associations among Instagram use, depressive symptoms, negative social comparison, and strangers followed. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 18(5), 247-252. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2014.0560>

Meshi, D., Tamir, D. I., & Heekeren, H. R. (2015). The emerging neuroscience of social media. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 19(12), 771-782. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2015.09.004>

Nesi, J., Choukas-Bradley, S., & Prinstein, M. J. (2018). Transformation of adolescent peer relations in the social media context: Part 1—A theoretical framework and application to dyadic peer relationships. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 21(3), 267-294. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-018-0261-x>

Parry, D. A., Davidson, B. I., Sewall, C. J., Fisher, J. T., Mieczkowski, H., & Quintana, D. S. (2021). A systematic review and meta-analysis of discrepancies between logged and self-reported digital media use. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5(11), 1535-1547. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01117-5>

Plackett, R., Blyth, A., & Schartau, P. (2023). The impact of social media use interventions on mental well-being: Systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25, e44922. <https://doi.org/10.2196/44922>

Schultz, W. (2015). Neuronal reward and decision signals: From theories to data. *Physiological Reviews*, 95(3), 853-951. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00023.2014>

Schultz, W. (2016). Dopamine reward prediction error coding. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 18(1), 23-32. <https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2016.18.1/wschultz>

Sherman, L. E., Greenfield, P. M., Hernandez, L. M., & Dapretto, M. (2018). Peer influence via Instagram: Effects on brain and behavior in adolescence and young adulthood. *Child Development*, 89(1), 37-47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12838>

Sherman, L. E., Payton, A. A., Hernandez, L. M., Greenfield, P. M., & Dapretto, M. (2016). The power of the like in adolescence: Effects of peer influence on

- neural and behavioral responses to social media. *Psychological Science*, 27(7), 1027-1035. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616645673>
- Skinner, B. F. (1953). *Science and human behavior*. Macmillan.
- Somerville, L. H., Jones, R. M., Ruberry, E. J., Dyke, J. P., Glover, G., & Casey, B. J. (2013). The medial prefrontal cortex and the emergence of self-conscious emotion in adolescence. *Psychological Science*, 24(8), 1554-1562. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613475633>
- Steinberg, L. (2008). A social neuroscience perspective on adolescent risk-taking. *Developmental Review*, 28(1), 78-106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2007.08.002>
- Tesser, A. (1988). Toward a self-evaluation maintenance model of social behavior. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 21, pp. 181-227). Academic Press.
- Twenge, J. M., Cooper, A. B., Joiner, T. E., Duffy, M. E., & Binau, S. G. (2019). Age, period, and cohort trends in mood disorder indicators and suicide-related outcomes in a nationally representative dataset, 2005-2017. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 128(3), 185-199. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000410>
- Twenge, J. M., & Martin, G. N. (2020). Gender differences in associations between digital media use and psychological well-being: Evidence from three large datasets. *Journal of Adolescence*, 79, 91-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2019.12.018>
- Valkenburg, P. M., & Peter, J. (2009). Social consequences of the Internet for adolescents: A decade of research. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 18(1), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01595.x>
- Valkenburg, P. M., & van Driel, I. I. (2021). The associations of active and passive social media use with well-being: A critical scoping review. *New Media & Society*, 23(12), 3778-3808. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211065425>
- Valkenburg, P. M., van Driel, I. I., & Beyens, I. (2022). The associations of active and passive social media use with well-being: A critical scoping review. *New Media & Society*, 24(2), 530-549.
- Vannucci, A., Flannery, K. M., & Ohannessian, C. M. (2017). Social media use and anxiety in emerging adults. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 207, 163-166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.08.040>
- van Duijvenvoorde, A. C., Peters, S., Braams, B. R., & Crone, E. A. (2015). What motivates adolescents? Neural responses to rewards and their influence on adolescents' risk taking, learning, and cognitive control. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 70, 135-147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.06.037>
- Verduyn, P., Ybarra, O., Résibois, M., Jonides, J., & Kross, E. (2017). Do social network sites enhance or undermine subjective well-being? A critical review. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, 11(1), 274-302. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sipr.12033>

- Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Okdie, B. M., Eckles, K., & Franz, B. (2015). Who compares and despairs? The effect of social comparison orientation on social media use and its outcomes. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 86, 249-256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.06.026>
- Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Roberts, L. R., & Eckles, K. (2014). Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 3(4), 206-222. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000047>
- Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Fowler, J. S., Tomasi, D., & Telang, F. (2011). Addiction: Beyond dopamine reward circuitry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(37), 15037-15042. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010654108>
- Wolfers, L. N., & Utz, S. (2022). Social media use, stress, and coping. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 45, 101305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2022.101305>
- Zeigarnik, B. (1938). On finished and unfinished tasks. In W. D. Ellis (Ed.), *A source book of Gestalt psychology* (pp. 300-314). Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Word Count: 4,527 words