| Comprehensive 5-Category Research Paper Outline |
|--|
| Formal Title: [Specific, Descriptive, and Academic Title] |
| Working Thesis: [Complex, defensible claim requiring five analytical dimensions] |
| Target Length: 2,000+ words (2,500-3,500 ideal) |
| Discipline: [e.g., History, Sociology, Literary Analysis] |
| Keywords: [3-5 core conceptual terms] |
| I. Abstract (Target: 150-250 words) |
| • Research Problem: State the specific scholarly gap, debate, or problem addressed. |
| • Methodology/Approach: Briefly note analytical framework (theoretical lens, comparative |
| method, etc.). |
| • Five-Category Framework: Name the five analytical categories. |
| • Key Findings/Synthesis: State the core argument that emerges from the synthesis. |
| • Significance: Conclude with the contribution to the field. |
| Abstract Draft Notes: |
| Core problem phrasing: |
| Key finding to highlight: |
| II. Introduction (Target: 300-400 words) |
| • Contextual Hook: Place the topic within a current scholarly conversation or real-world |

phenomenon.

- Literature Review Synthesis: Succinctly summarize relevant scholarship, identifying the precise gap.
 - Established View: "The prevailing scholarship on [Topic] has effectively demonstrated [Aspect 1] and [Aspect 2]..."
 - Gap Identification: "...yet it has largely neglected [Dimension 3], failed to reconcile
 [Tension 4], or overstated [Claim 5]."
- **Research Question(s):** State 1-2 central guiding questions.
- Thesis Statement: Present the full, nuanced argument that the five-category analysis will substantiate.
- **Roadmap & Justification:** Preview the five categories *and* briefly justify this structure as the necessary apparatus to answer the research question.

Source Integration (Intro):

- Key source establishing the "established view": **Author (Year)**
- Key source identifying/gaping the gap: Author (Year)

III. Theoretical Framework & Methodology (Target: 250-350 words)

- **Defining Key Constructs:** Provide operational definitions for central, potentially contested terms.
- Analytical Lens: State the primary theoretical framework (e.g., Feminist theory, New Historicism, Institutional Analysis).
- **Methodological Approach:** Explain *how* you will analyze evidence (e.g., comparative analysis, close reading, case study selection).

• **Scope & Delimitations:** Clearly state the boundaries of your inquiry (temporal, geographical, conceptual).

IV. The Five Analytical Categories

Category 1: [Foundational/Historical/Textual Category] (Target: 350-450 words)

- Analytical Purpose: Establishes the essential baseline or primary evidence.
- **Topic Sentence:** Links category to thesis as the necessary starting point.
- Source-Driven Analysis:
 - Evidence A: [Source 1] + Analysis.
 - **Evidence B:** [Source 2] + Analysis.
 - Evidence C (Optional): [Source 3 or Primary Data] + Comparative/Contrasting
 Analysis.
- **Interim Conclusion:** Summarize this category's contribution to the overall argument.
- **Transition to Cat. 2:** Explicitly state the unresolved question or limitation that necessitates the next category.

Source Integration (Cat. 1):

- Primary Source/Data: Source Citation
- Supporting Scholarship: Author (Year), Author (Year)
- Potential Counterpoint to address: **Author (Year)**

Category 2: [Contextual/Structural/Formal Category] (Target: 350-450 words)

- **Analytical Purpose:** Examines the surrounding systems, structures, or forms.
- **Topic Sentence:** Introduces this category in relation to Category 1.

- Source-Driven Analysis:
 - **Evidence A:** [Source 1] + Analysis linking back to Cat. 1.
 - Evidence B: [Source 2] + Synthesis.
- Interim Conclusion & Transition: State how Cats. 1 & 2 interact and point toward the need for Cat. 3.

Source Integration (Cat. 2):

- Key Theoretical Source: Author (Year)
- Contextual/Historical Source: Author (Year)

Category 3: [Complicating/Critical/Agency-Focused Category] (Target: 350-450 words)

- Analytical Purpose: Introduces nuance, exception, or active response, challenging determinism.
- **Topic Sentence:** Positions this category as the crucial complicating factor.
- Source-Driven Analysis:
 - **Evidence A:** [Source 1] + Analysis showing complication.
 - o **Evidence B:** [Source 2 or Primary Evidence] + Deepened analysis.
- Interim Conclusion & Transition: Articulate the more complex picture formed by Cats. 1-3 and introduce the synthetic need for Cat. 4.

Source Integration (Cat. 3):

- Source providing "complication": Author (Year)
- Case Study/Primary Evidence: Source Citation

Category 4: [Comparative/Synthetic/Integrative Category] (Target: 350-450 words)

- Analytical Purpose: Actively synthesizes insights from prior categories to build new understanding.
- **Topic Sentence:** Frames this as the integrative stage of the argument.
- Source-Driven Synthesis (This is key):
 - Synthesis Point A: Draw on Cat. 1 & Cat. 2 to make a new point, supported by Source.
 - Synthesis Point B: Draw on Cat. 2 & Cat. 3 to make a new point, supported by Source.
- **Interim Conclusion & Transition:** Present the integrated argument and introduce the final, implicative category.

Source Integration (Cat. 4):

- Source aiding synthesis: **Author** (**Year**)
- Additional evidence for integrated point: Source Citation

Category 5: [Implicative/Evaluative/Forward-Looking Category] (Target: 350-450 words)

- Analytical Purpose: Draws out consequences, evaluates significance, or proposes future directions.
- **Topic Sentence:** Presents this as the culminating category deriving from the synthesis.
- Source-Driven Implications:
 - Implication/Evaluation A: Supported by scholarship (Author, Year) and linked to prior analysis.
 - o **Implication/Evaluation B:** Points toward future research or application.

• **Section Conclusion:** Conclusively state how this category completes the argument demanded by the thesis.

Source Integration (Cat. 5):

- Source on implications/the "so what": **Author (Year)**
- Source suggesting future directions: Author (Year)

V. Synthesis & Discussion (Target: 300-400 words)

- **Restatement of Synthesis:** Begin by stating the core synthesized argument that has emerged.
- Dialogue with Existing Scholarship: Re-engage with sources from the intro/lit review. How
 does your five-category analysis confirm, complicate, or contradict them? Use Author
 (Year) citations.
- Addressing Limitations: Thoughtfully acknowledge the limitations of your study (scope, source availability, etc.).
- **Significance Reinforced:** Clearly articulate the contribution to the field.

Synthesis Planning Section:

- Primary Synthesis Mechanism: (e.g., "My argument synthesizes by showing how Category
 4's model resolves the tension between Category 1 and Category 3, as framed by Category
 2, leading to Category 5's implications.")
- Key Scholarly Debate to Enter:
- Two sources to directly converse with: 1. Author (Year), 2. Author (Year)

VI. Conclusion (Target: 200-250 words)

- Concise Summary of Journey: Briefly recap the analytical path taken through the five categories.
- **Definitive Answer to Research Question:** Provide the clear, evidence-based answer.
- Broader Implications: Place the specific findings into a wider intellectual, social, or practical context.
- **Final, Resonant Statement:** A polished closing that underscores the importance of the inquiry.

VII. References (Works Cited)

- **Format:** [APA 7th, MLA 9th, Chicago, etc.]
- Preliminary List: [Begin listing sources here as you outline]

Advanced Cohesion Checklist for 5-Category Papers

- Non-Repetitive Categories: Each category represents a distinct *type* of analysis (e.g., historical, formal, biographical, theoretical, evaluative).
- Narrative Arc: The sequence tells a logical story: Foundation → Complication →
 Synthesis → Implication.
- □ Source Distribution: Sources are used strategically across categories, not all clustered in one.
- Synthesis is Active: Category 4 or 5 explicitly creates new insight by combining earlier categories.

| • | ☐ Transitions are Conceptual: Moves between categories are justified by the argument's |
|---|--|
| | needs, not just sequence. |
| • | \Box Thesis Evolution: The final synthesis is richer than the initial thesis statement, showing |
| | the analysis deepened it. |
| | |

Next Step: Use this outline as a living document. Fill source citations as you research. Write the **Synthesis Planning Section** before drafting the body to ensure analytical depth.

